



Linux Ubuntu 26.04 Manual Pages on command 'faccessat.2'

\$ man faccessat.2

access(2) System Calls Manual access(2)

NAME

access, faccessat, faccessat2 - check user's permissions for a file

LIBRARY

Standard C library (libc, -lc)

SYNOPSIS

```
#include <unistd.h>

int access(const char *path, int mode);

#include <fcntl.h>            /* Definition of AT_* constants */

#include <unistd.h>

int faccessat(int dirfd, const char *path, int mode, int flags);

/* But see C library/kernel differences, below */

#include <fcntl.h>            /* Definition of AT_* constants */

#include <sys/syscall.h>      /* Definition of SYS_* constants */

#include <unistd.h>

int syscall(SYS_faccessat2,

            int dirfd, const char *path, int mode, int flags);
```

Feature Test Macro Requirements for glibc (see feature_test_macros(7)):

faccessat():

Since glibc 2.10:

 _POSIX_C_SOURCE >= 200809L

Before glibc 2.10:

 _ATFILE_SOURCE

DESCRIPTION

`access()` checks whether the calling process can access the file path. If path is a symbolic link, it is dereferenced.

The mode specifies the accessibility check(s) to be performed, and is either the value `F_OK`, or a mask consisting of the bitwise OR of one or more of `R_OK`, `W_OK`, and `X_OK`. `F_OK` tests for the existence of the file. `R_OK`, `W_OK`, and `X_OK` test whether the file exists and grants read, write, and execute permissions, respectively.

The check is done using the calling process's real UID and GID, rather than the effective IDs as is done when actually attempting an operation (e.g., `open(2)`) on the file. Similarly, for the root user, the check uses the set of permitted capabilities rather than the set of effective capabilities; and for non-root users, the check uses an empty set of capabilities.

This allows set-user-ID programs and capability-endowed programs to easily determine the invoking user's authority. In other words, `access()` does not answer the "can I read/write/execute this file?" question. It answers a slightly different question: "(assuming I'm a setuid binary) can the user who invoked me read/write/execute this file?", which gives set-user-ID programs the possibility to prevent malicious users from causing them to read files which users shouldn't be able to read.

If the calling process is privileged (i.e., its real UID is zero), then an `X_OK` check is successful for a regular file if execute permission is enabled for any of the file owner, group, or other.

`faccessat()`

`faccessat()` operates in exactly the same way as `access()`, except for the differences described here.

If path is relative, then it is interpreted relative to the directory referred to by the file descriptor `dirfd` (rather than relative to the current working directory of the calling process, as is done by `access()` for a relative pathname).

If path is relative and `dirfd` is the special value `AT_FDCWD`, then path is interpreted relative to the current working directory of the calling process (like `access()`).

If path is absolute, then `dirfd` is ignored.

flags is constructed by ORing together zero or more of the following values:

`AT_EACCESS`

Perform access checks using the effective user and group IDs. By default, `faccessat()`

sat() uses the real IDs (like access()).

AT_EMPTY_PATH (since Linux 5.8)

If path is an empty string, operate on the file referred to by dirfd (which may have been obtained using the open(2) O_PATH flag). In this case, dirfd can refer to any type of file, not just a directory. If dirfd is AT_FDCWD, the call operates on the current working directory. This flag is Linux-specific; define _GNU_SOURCE to obtain its definition.

AT_SYMLINK_NOFOLLOW

If path is a symbolic link, do not dereference it: instead return information about the link itself.

See openat(2) for an explanation of the need for faccessat().

faccessat2()

The description of faccessat() given above corresponds to POSIX.1 and to the implementation provided by glibc. However, the glibc implementation was an imperfect emulation (see BUGS) that papered over the fact that the raw Linux faccessat() system call does not have a flags argument. To allow for a proper implementation, Linux 5.8 added the faccessat2() system call, which supports the flags argument and allows a correct implementation of the facces? sat() wrapper function.

RETURN VALUE

On success (all requested permissions granted, or mode is F_OK and the file exists), zero is returned. On error (at least one bit in mode asked for a permission that is denied, or mode is F_OK and the file does not exist, or some other error occurred), -1 is returned, and errno is set to indicate the error.

ERRORS

EACCES The requested access would be denied to the file, or search permission is denied for one of the directories in the path prefix of path. (See also path_resolution(7).)

EBADF (faccessat()) path is relative but dirfd is neither AT_FDCWD (faccessat()) nor a valid file descriptor.

EFAULT path points outside your accessible address space.

EINVAL mode was incorrectly specified.

EINVAL (faccessat()) Invalid flag specified in flags.

EIO An I/O error occurred.

ELOOP Too many symbolic links were encountered in resolving path.

ENAMETOOLONG

path is too long.

ENOENT A component of path does not exist or is a dangling symbolic link.

ENOMEM Insufficient kernel memory was available.

ENOTDIR

A component used as a directory in path is not, in fact, a directory.

ENOTDIR

(faccessat()) path is relative and dirfd is a file descriptor referring to a file other than a directory.

EPERM Write permission was requested to a file that has the immutable flag set. See also

FS_IOC_SETFLAGS(2const).

EROFS Write permission was requested for a file on a read-only filesystem.

ETXTBSY

Write access was requested to an executable which is being executed.

VERSIONS

If the calling process has appropriate privileges (i.e., is superuser), POSIX.1-2001 permits an implementation to indicate success for an X_OK check even if none of the execute file permission bits are set. Linux does not do this.

C library/kernel differences

The raw faccessat() system call takes only the first three arguments. The AT_EACCESS and AT_SYMLINK_NOFOLLOW flags are actually implemented within the glibc wrapper function for faccessat(). If either of these flags is specified, then the wrapper function employs fs?tatat(2) to determine access permissions, but see BUGS.

glibc notes

On older kernels where faccessat() is unavailable (and when the AT_EACCESS and AT_SYMLINK_NOFOLLOW flags are not specified), the glibc wrapper function falls back to the use of access(). When path is relative, glibc constructs a pathname based on the symbolic link in /proc/self/fd that corresponds to the dirfd argument.

STANDARDS

access()

faccessat()

POSIX.1-2024.

faccessat2()

Linux.

HISTORY

`access()`

4.3BSD, SVr4, POSIX.1-1988.

`faccessat()`

Linux 2.6.16, glibc 2.4, POSIX.1-2008.

`faccessat2()`

Linux 5.8.

NOTES

Warning: Using these calls to check if a user is authorized to, for example, open a file before actually doing so using `open(2)` creates a security hole, because the user might exploit the short time interval between checking and opening the file to manipulate it. For this reason, the use of this system call should be avoided. (In the example just described, a safer alternative would be to temporarily switch the process's effective user ID to the real ID and then call `open(2)`.)

`access()` always dereferences symbolic links. If you need to check the permissions on a symbolic link, use `faccessat()` with the flag `AT_SYMLINK_NOFOLLOW`.

These calls return an error if any of the access types in `mode` is denied, even if some of the other access types in `mode` are permitted.

A file is accessible only if the permissions on each of the directories in the `path` prefix of `path` grant search (i.e., execute) access. If any directory is inaccessible, then the `access()` call fails, regardless of the permissions on the file itself.

Only access bits are checked, not the file type or contents. Therefore, if a directory is found to be writable, it probably means that files can be created in the directory, and not that the directory can be written as a file. Similarly, a DOS file may be reported as executable, but the `execve(2)` call will still fail.

These calls may not work correctly on NFSv2 filesystems with UID mapping enabled, because UID mapping is done on the server and hidden from the client, which checks permissions. (NFS versions 3 and higher perform the check on the server.) Similar problems can occur to FUSE mounts.

BUGS

Because the Linux kernel's `faccessat()` system call does not support a `flags` argument, the glibc `faccessat()` wrapper function provided in glibc 2.32 and earlier emulates the required

functionality using a combination of the `faccessat()` system call and `fstatat(2)`. However, this emulation does not take ACLs into account. Starting with glibc 2.33, the wrapper function avoids this bug by making use of the `faccessat2()` system call where it is provided by the underlying kernel.

In Linux 2.4 (and earlier) there is some strangeness in the handling of `X_OK` tests for superuser. If all categories of execute permission are disabled for a nondirectory file, then the only `access()` test that returns -1 is when mode is specified as just `X_OK`; if `R_OK` or `W_OK` is also specified in mode, then `access()` returns 0 for such files. Early Linux 2.6 (up to and including Linux 2.6.3) also behaved in the same way as Linux 2.4.

Before Linux 2.6.20, these calls ignored the effect of the `MS_NOEXEC` flag if it was used to `mount(2)` the underlying filesystem. Since Linux 2.6.20, the `MS_NOEXEC` flag is honored.

SEE ALSO

`chmod(2)`, `chown(2)`, `open(2)`, `setgid(2)`, `setuid(2)`, `stat(2)`, `euidaccess(3)`, `credentials(7)`, `path_resolution(7)`, `symlink(7)`

Linux man-pages 6.17

2026-02-08

`access(2)`